

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
LAKE CHARLES DIVISION**

**JAWARA HADIYIATU**

: **DOCKET NO. 2:06-cv-1412**  
**Section P**

**VS.**

: **JUDGE MINALDI**

**ALBERTO GONZALES, ET AL.**

: **MAGISTRATE JUDGE WILSON**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Currently before the court is a “Motion to Dismiss” [doc. 14] filed on behalf of the respondents in the above-captioned *habeas corpus* matter. This matter has been referred to the undersigned magistrate judge for review, report, and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).

This petition for writ of *habeas corpus* was filed by *pro se* petitioner, Jawara Hadiyiatu, to challenge his continued detention in post-removal-order custody. In the petition, he states that he has been in post-removal-order detention for more than six months and that there is no significant likelihood of removal in the reasonably foreseeable future.

In light of the facts presented by the petitioner, the court ordered the government to respond to the petition. In response to the petition, the government filed the Motion to Dismiss which is currently before the court, arguing that this matter should be dismissed as moot. In support of this motion, the government presents documentation which establishes that the petitioner was released from post-removal-order detention to an Order of Supervision on November 13, 2006. *See* Government Exhibit A.

Because the summary judgment type evidence before the court establishes that petitioner is no longer in custody, the court finds that his challenge to his post-removal-order detention is now moot and should be dismissed.

For this reason,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the “Motion to Dismiss” [doc. 14] be GRANTED and that this petition be DENIED AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as moot.

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(C), the parties have ten (10) business days from receipt of this Report and Recommendation to file any objections with the Clerk of Court. Timely objections will be considered by the district judge prior to a final ruling.

**FAILURE TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WITHIN TEN (10)  
BUSINESS DAYS FROM THE DATE OF ITS SERVICE SHALL BAR AN AGGRIEVED  
PARTY FROM ATTACKING ON APPEAL, EXCEPT UPON GROUNDS OF PLAIN  
ERROR, THE UNOBJECTED-TO PROPOSED FACTUAL FINDINGS AND LEGAL  
CONCLUSIONS ACCEPTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT.**

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Chambers at Lake Charles, Louisiana, April 18, 2007.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ALONZO P. WILSON  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE